UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,435	04/12/2004	Karen Giroux	04271500	4837
23416 7590 07/09/2007 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP P O BOX 2207			EXAMINER	
			SAMALA, JAGADISHWAR RAO	
WILMINGTO	WILMINGTON, DE 19899		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	2	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/823,435	GIROUX ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jagadishwar R. Samala	1618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	. •			
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- action is non-final.	•			
3)	,—					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
=	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-61 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
· · · _	·					
9)∐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	i(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/823,435 Page 2

Art Unit: 1618

· = 1 .

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-17 are, drawn to a medical device that releases at least one agent(s) from an anhydride polymer, classified in class 424, subclass 422.
 - II. Claims 18-31 are, drawn to a medical device having at least one surface, comprising: a first polymer and a second polymer on all or a portion of the surface, wherein a first active agent is capable of disassociation from the first polymer upon hydrolysis, and a second active agent is capable of disassociation from the second polymer upon hydrolysis, classified in class 424, subclass 486.
 - III. Claims 32-46 are, drawn to a medical device having at least one surface, comprising a first polymer and second polymer on all or a portion of the surface, wherein a first active agent is capable of disassociation from the first polymer upon hydrolysis, and a second active agent is capable of disassociation from the second polymer upon hydrolysis, wherein the first and second active agents can combine in vivo to form a third active agent, classified in class 424, subclass 468.
 - IV. Claims 47-61 are, drawn to a method for delivering an active agent to an interior surface of a vein or an artery, comprising providing a medical device having at least one surface, comprising: a first polymer on all or a

Page 3

portion of the surface, herein the polymer is capable of hydrolyzing to form a first active agent under physiologic conditions, classified in class 424, subclass 718.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I, (II or III) and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case group I, II (or III) are drawn to a medical device product and group IV is drawn to method for delivering an active agent to an interior surface of a vein or an artery, yet group IV, the method for using medical device can be practiced with materially different product.
- 3. Inventions I and II (or III) are patentably distinct. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. As such, a reference anticipating one group of invention would not necessarily render the other invention obvious.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/823,435

Art Unit: 1618

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jagadishwar R. Samala whose telephone number is (571)272-9927. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30 A.M to 5.00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571)272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ZOHREH FAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200

Jagadishwar R Samala Examiner Art Unit 1618
